IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl.Misc. No.4894-M of 2007
Date of Decision:- 15.03.2007

Chandra & others ....Petitioner(s)

through
Mr.M.L.Saini, Advocate

vs.

State of Haryana ....Respondent(s)

through
Mr.Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Haryana.
Mr.Rajesh Bhardwaj, Advocate.

***

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

***

1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2) To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

***

SURYA KANT, J.

It is not disputed that pursuant to the order dated January 30, 2007, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

However, the prayer made in this petition is being opposed by the learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant on the ground that there are three co-accused of the petitioners from whom weapons of offence are yet to be recovered as they have absconded.

It may be true that the accused from whom certain recoveries etc. are to be made, may be required for custodial interrogation, however, that principle would not apply in the case of the petitioners to whom no specific role in the free fighting has been attributed.

For the reasons afore-stated, the interim order dated January 30, 2007 is made absolute, however, subject to the condition that in the

event of presentation of any challan, the petitioners shall surrender before the learned trial Court and furnish fresh bail bonds to its satisfaction upon which they will be admitted to regular bail.

Disposed of.

March 15, 2007 **(SURYA KANT)**
poonam **JUDGE**